	onsultation Feedback Summary
Consultation period: Thursday 29 June 2023 – Friday 7 July 202	-
Number of 4	
submissions	
received:	
Proposers: ShellMAP Regulatory Services	
Oysters Tasmania	
Mark Boulter Shellfish QA Specialist – IMA	45
Michel Bermudes Ma Bruny Oysters Pty Li	
ShellMAP submission	
Reference Type Details	Assessment
P6 Definition Scallop – adductor v	Response
abductor	ASQAP definition of shellfish used
	in Guide
P 11 Process Relay authorisation	Response
and whether it needs	Relay authorisation is issued under
to be included here	PPSA, FSC and conditions of
or not	accreditation
of flot	Needs to be mentioned as it is part
	of the whole system for accredited
	producers
P 14 Process Council statutory	•
approvals	Response DA's are not the legal instrument to
approvais	_
	require permits. This is an advice
	on planning permits, not a
	requirement of the planning
D 45	permit process.
P 15 Terminology MF lease and licences	Accepted
	Updated in the Guide to reflect
2.45	accurate terminology
P 15 Process NCC applicability to	Response
wild fish fish	Applies to all 'regulated fish' and
processors	seafood processors that are
	required to hold accreditation
	under the PPSA
P 21 Process Is ShellMAP the	Response
relevant contact	ShellMAP is the pre harvest contact
point?	point for accredited BM primary
	producers under the PPSA, in the
	first instance and this may be a
	valuable first contact with potential
	new industry entrants.
	Wider queries from other
	commodities would contact
	Aquaculture Ops in the first
	instance.
P 25 Definitions Carted water –	Accepted
approved source	Will be added to definitions section
	to cover water sources carted from
	a ShellMAP approved harvest area
	or Public Health Act 1997 approved

	T	T	
P 25 and 26	Process	ShellMAP roles	source for water carters and re- wording of text in Guide to provide clarity around 'approved sources'. Response
1 23 4114 20	110003	SHEIIVIAI TOICS	_ ·
			Clarify that applications will be
			referred to ShellMAP for comment.
			Conditions of authorisation will be included as part of the Certificate of Accreditation which is issued by PPSP along with environmental
			criteria to be included in Harvest
			Area Management Plans through
			collaboration with ShellMAP Scientific Officers.
			This is supported through the NRE Tas 'How we work' corporate
			strategy that facilities and
			promotes principles and
			behaviours to build on existing
			collaborative relationships within
			the State Service and beyond.
P 26	Process	Limits – wet storage v	Accepted
		depuration	Presentation of this information is
			not clear and text will be re-written
			and re-organised to address the
			lack of clarity.
			All limits are adopted from ASQAP
			and relate to source water
			classification.
P 28	Process	Malfunction – does	Accepted
		this apply to wet	Presentation of this information is
		storage and	not clear and text will be re-written
		depuration?	and re-organised to address the
			lack of clarity.
P 29	Layout	Source water and	Accepted
		shellfish	Re-configuration of table to
P 20	D . C	contamination table	improve readability
P 29	Definitions	Limits - wet storage v	Accepted
		depuration	Presentation of this information is
			not clear and text will be re-written
			and re-organised to address the
			lack of clarity.
			All limits are adopted from ASQAP and relate to source water
			classification.
P 29	Definitions	Limits – treated	Response
23	Deminions	water – ND in 100 ml	ASQAP limit is ND in 100ml as
		water ND III 100 IIII	opposed to the more
			opposed to the more

			contemporary lab reporting of <1 cfu/100ml
P 29	Process	Validation study iv – does this mean every 3 months?	Response Validation will be conducted at a frequency determined by the Chief Inspector s 15 (1) of the PPSA may vary depending on the process proposed and operational performance.
P 29	Process	ShellMAP roles	Response Conditions of authorisation will be included as part of the Certificate of Accreditation which is issued by PPSP. Conditions of authorisation will be included as part of the Certificate of Accreditation which is issued by PPSP along with environmental criteria to be included in Harvest Area Management Plans through collaboration with ShellMAP Scientific Officers.
P 33	Process	GMP - Testing	Response Verification is a process that forms part of the FSMS of the accredited producer. Frequency TBD by PPSP as part of the assessment of the FSMS and acceptance of proposed verification.
Appendix 1 Application Checklist P 35	Process	Relay authorisation and whether it needs to be included here or not? Application for accreditation and variation to accreditation	Response Relay authorisation is issued under PPSA, FSC and conditions of accreditation. Needs to be mentioned as it is part of the whole food safety scheme and regulations. Note: Relay is mentioned in the FSC and therefore needs to form part of the whole system.
Appendix 2 Water Quality Parameters P 43	Definitions	Water used for depuration – biological requirements table 2a – not exceeding 70 cfu/100ml	Response Conditions of authorisation will be included as part of the Certificate of Accreditation which is issued by PPSP along with environmental criteria to be included in Harvest Area Management Plans through

			collaboration with ShellMAP Scientific Officers. The limits outlined in Appendix 2 are from ASQAP, validation (disinfection) studies will provide baseline data for system performance and ShellMAP water monitoring to verify harvest area classification will inform the
Appendix 2 Water Quality Parameters P 44	Layout	Table 2b – recirculating water systems – water quality requirements	conditions of accreditation. Accepted Clarification/re wording required This is referring to the SOP's provided with the application to be included or in addition to FSMS
Appendix 3 Validation (Disinfection) Study Protocol P 45	Definitions	Recirculation tanks - Water sources Wet storage v depuration	Accepted Clarification/re wording required to remove ambiguity.
P 45	Process	Validation frequency – as specified by the Authority	Response Validation is a process that forms part of the FSMS of the accredited producer. Frequency TBD by PPSP as part of the assessment of the FSMS.
P 46	Definitions	36 hours – wet storage and depuration ?	Accepted Clarification/re wording required to improve clarity to reflect wet storage being a temporary storage to improve quality whereas depuration is a process to reduce contamination of at least 36 hours
P 46	Layout	Separate columns for wet storage and depuration to improve readability	Accepted Re-organisation of tables is required to improve clarity and readability
P 46	Definitions	Critical limits – wet storage v depuration	Accepted Clarification/re wording required to remove ambiguity between processes
Oysters Tasmania s			
Reference	Туре	Details	Assessment
Regulation as a minimum	Purpose	Guide to make clear that it represents 'minimum requirements'	Response A 'Purpose' section will be added to the Guide

11	1	D	D
Limiting	Legislative	Request for evidence	Response
accreditation to	foundation	of statutory approvals	S 12 PPSA Application for
the PPSA 2011		from other agencies	Accreditation and information
		exceeds scope of	requirements
		Chief Inspector,	
		breach of PIP Act and	
		other privacy	S 22 (a) PPSA appeal provisions to
		legislation.	Administrative Appeals Division
			relating to an application for
		Legal Challenges	accreditation.
			S 84 PPSA Disclosure of
			Information provisions
			·
		Privacy	S 9 (7) State Service Act 2000 –
			confidentiality provision
NCC	Legislative	Special Use Buildings	Response
	foundation	and Chief Inspector	
2022 Volume 1		not required to make	Function Control Authority's (Chief
Schedule 9		assessments against	Inspector's) role is to assess the
Tasmania		NCC	design and performance of
			building work on any Special Use
			building to ensure it satisfies the
			objective of the NCC to facilitate
			safe manufacture, preparation,
			storage and packaging of food for
			sale for human consumption for
			premises regulated under the
			PPSA.
			This applies to all primary
			This applies to all primary
			production and processing sectors
			regulated under the PPSA – not
		/ 1 1 1	just the BM sector.
Advice on other agencies' Acts	Legislative foundation	'plumbing installation'	Response
			Guide is advising potential
			applicants to seek advice from
			Council in relation to any approvals
			that <i>may</i> be required, from
			Council.
			Council is the permit authority and
			regulator of plumbing installations
			and works in Tasmania.
Limiting FSP's to	Legislative	'significant hazard' v	Response
significant food	foundation	'hazard'	
safety hazards			Std 4.2.1 clause 16 – Food Safety
			Management Systems for Bivalve
			Molluscs requires primary
			producers to have in place a
L	1	+	

			system to effectively control the hazards (biological, chemical or physical), not only 'significant hazards'. Std 4.2.1 and the PPSA regulate food safety risk. Business risk and biosecurity risk are outside the scope of the PPSA or this Guide. Note: – ASQAP 8.2.9 now includes requirements for SCA to consider animal and human health risks of disinfection by-products (ie biosecurity risk)
Seeking and approving only relevant details	Legislative foundation	Information requirements in consideration of an application for accreditation or variation to accreditation	Response S 12 PPSA Application for Accreditation and information requirements S 84 PPSA Disclosure of Information provisions S 9 (7) State Service Act 2000 – confidentiality provision Note – an application must conform to the requirements of the Chief Inspector about its form, contents and the manner in which it is made (s 12 (1)(b))
Specific criteria to maximise guidance and accountability	Process	Lack of detail on specific design criteria and what PPSP considers to be food safe.	Prescription has been provided where it is available and relevant. The Form 10 referral process and desk top review of FSMS documentation as part of the application process has been put in place to avoid business constructing/fitting out premises with non-compliant materials that are only observed at 'inspection' and then subject to costly retrofitting/replacement/refurbishment.

			FSC and PPSA are outcomes focused legislation that have minimal prescription. Historically, prescriptive legislation has impinged industry's ability to be responsive to new technology and processes. Businesses are required to demonstrate to the regulator (PPSP) that they are able to achieve the outcomes of the PPPSA and FSC and how they will achieve this. 'Food safe' is defined across a range of legislation to encompass materials that come in contact with foods, are suitable for use in food production and processing and are not a contamination risk to food through their use. 'Food grade' is
No requirements	Legislative	Requirements of	another commonly used term. Response
unrelated to significant food safety hazards	foundation	Guide have no basis in the FSC	All items listed relate to hygienic and safe food production and processing and the performance outcomes of the FSC.
No requirement to update, and no	Legislative foundation	Annual review of FSMS	Response
case for certificate expiry	Touridation	Annual return process and Certificate of	It is a requirement of HACCP based FSMS's for an annual review of the system.
		Accreditation	Fee waivers are available for application fees and are at the
		Variation application fees	discretion of the Chief Inspector.
			The annual return process is the completion of an Annual Return form then payment of fees once an assessment of the information provided has been made.
FSP exemption	Legislative foundation	Exemption from	Response
	Touridation	requirement for FSP	All producers supplying into the human consumption market are required to prepare and implement a FSMS.

	ı	T	
			Some producers have operations that cover both human supply and nursery operations and as such the nursery component is exempt from inclusion in the FSMS and the requirement for audit.
			Those producers that are engaged in 'growing on' only are not required to prepare and implement a FSMS or undergo an annual food safety audit.
Audit frequency	Legislative foundation	Extension of audit frequency	Response
	loundation	rrequency	Requests for a deviation from the specified audit frequency under s 15 of the PPSA may be made to the Chief Inspector and will be considered on a case by case basis.
			Note - Bivalve production is considered a high risk food safety process and commodity, due to its ready-to-eat status (ie no further kill step applied such as cooking).
Distinct			Accepted
regulation of distinct risks			In line with the previous feedback discussed from the ShellMAP submission, re-organisation and rewording of some sections of the Guide is occurring to more clearly distinguish between wet storage and depuration information to remove ambiguity and improve readability.
Source of shellfish	Definition		Accepted
Culling	Definition		Please see previous comment. Accepted
_			ASQAP definition to be adopted and the use of 'unhealthy' replaced by 'dying' ie dead, dying or damaged
Tray loading and tank loading and	Process		Accepted
filling			In line with the previous feedback discussed from the ShellMAP

		submission, re-organisation and re- wording of some sections of the Guide is occurring to more clearly distinguish between wet storage and depuration information to remove ambiguity and improve readability.
Process		Accepted A re-write of the text may resolve this and emphasise the differences between the two processes clearer.
Process	Wet storage and depuration differences	In line with the previous feedback discussed from the ShellMAP submission, re-organisation and rewording of some sections of the Guide is occurring to more clearly distinguish between wet storage and depuration information to remove ambiguity and improve readability.
Process	Cleaning chemicals Example cleaning and maintenance schedules	All chemicals used need to be food grade or mixed and used at concentrations that will not pose a contamination risk (chemical residue) to food. The requirement for chemicals from an approved supplier related to the approved supplier section of the FSMS for accredited producers (ie use of food grade lubricants and cleaning compounds on food contact items, etc). The examples provided are examples only and the obligation is on the applicant to prepare and determine the frequency and manner of cleaning and maintenance for inclusion in their FSMS or operating manual appended to their FSMS.
	Process	Process Wet storage and depuration differences Process Cleaning chemicals Example cleaning and maintenance

Duration Prod			The Guide is not intended to prescribe the frequency and type of maintenance to be undertaken in relation to these processes. The applicant needs to tell us what they're doing and how this will be
Duration Prod			managed and monitored through their FSMS.
	cess	36 hours	Accepted Clarification/re wording required to improve clarity to reflect wet storage being a temporary storage to improve quality whereas depuration is a process to reduce contamination of at least 36 hours
Study of Proc	cess	Validation	Response
recirculation		(disinfection) study	The purpose of a validation (disinfection) study is to demonstrate that the proposed process will achieve the outcome required. In the case of depuration, the contamination load in the shellfish meat is reduced to a level that complies with the FSC and in the case of wet storage, conditions in the tank do not increase the microbial load on bivalves added to a wet storage tank. Results of the validation study are then supported by verification testing of product ready for supply to the market. Daily sampling and testing is not practical given lab locations in Tasmania and may result in delays in product reaching market if samples do not arrive at the lab or days are missed off the sampling schedule. A validation study demonstrates that your proposed system and operating procedures will achieve the outcome and not recontaminate the product during

		I	<u> </u>
Foundations of wet storage and depuration prescriptions	Process	Terminology and limits	Note: the Guide states if the protocol in Appendix 3 is not followed then an alternative approach may be approved. This can be re-written to the following: The validation study must follow the validation study protocol in Appendix 3 or an alternative protocol, as approved by the PPSP. Response The information provided in the specifications section of the checklist is in the process of being reviewed in light of your feedback however this work has not been completed in time for this workshop.
Water testing	Legislative foundation	Frequency of water testing	Response The Chief Inspector is the equivalent to the 'Authority' in NSW and as such can stipulate the frequency in relation to monitoring.
Commitments by the regulator	Legislative foundation	Timelines, roles and responsibilities, review and traceability	Response PPSP respond to applications by considering the information provided and requesting further and additional information, if it is deemed necessary (s 12 Application requirements) This can be process, commodity and site specific and includes a combination of desk top review of supporting information and site visits. There is no statutory timeline for the consideration of an application under the PPSA. Applicant checklist provided in Appendix 1 to assist applicants.

			All Guidance material is subject to review either at either a stipulated timeframe or as changes occur to related legislation. Non-compliance identified in an audit report is managed in line with the PPSA and Auditor Code of Conduct. Traceability is only required to be 1 step forward and 1 step backward under the current national food regulation framework and is covered in a producers FSMS and verified at audit. With rapidly evolving traceability technology, producers are now able to explore implementing enhanced traceability measures that go beyond the current regulatory requirements. Loss of traceability at wholesaler/retailer/broker points in the supply chain becomes a regulatory food safety matter for each state's respective Food Act regulators and falls outside the scope of the PPSA at this point.
Delegations from the Chief Inspector of Primary Produce Safety	Legislative foundation	Roles and responsibilities	Response The current separation of preharvest functions to ShellMAP and post-harvest functions to the PPSP is based on two different skillsets required in both areas. The ShellMAP team hold expertise in the evaluation and analysis of environmental data to undertake the functions of classification and management of the harvest areas for accredited producers under the PPSA. The ShellMAP functions related to industry development and collaboration such as supporting innovation in production methods

			and environmental management and monitoring, sit outside the scope of the PPSA and its objectives and are aligned with the functions of MRD. The PPSP team, like ShellMAP hold science qualifications that also enable them to evaluate and analyse environmental data, however in addition to these foundation qualifications, hold specialised and additional qualifications in food safety disciplines such as food safety auditing, food science and technology and regulation. Delegations are provided by the Chief Inspector to NRE Tas staff across a range of areas such as BOB, PPSP and ShellMAP and are issued on the basis that an Authorised Officer only operates within their scope of expertise.
Mark Boulter subm	lission – Shellfish C	 A Specialist - IMAS	
Reference	Туре	Details	Assessment
P 18	Туро	Liquid waste or process water is directed into drainage and an approved oswm system/Taswater	Accepted This has been changed to 'which is an approved'
P 20	Definition	cultivation	Response Definition from PPS Seafood Regs
P 22	Definition	Live shellfish	Accepted 'fit for human consumption' has been included in the text of the Guide
P 23	Process	Optimal temperature	Accepted Temperature range of 8-18°C to be added here based on previous version of the ShellMAP policy. Text around minimises drastic temperature variation to be added.

Contamination

Text to be re-worked to better reflect the potential differences in

			system design between the two
			processes
P 25	Process	Differentiation	Accepted
		between two systems	Covered in previous ShellMAP
			comments
			Comments
P 26	Layout	Operational	Accepted
		requirements	
			Re-working of text to point to
P 26	Process	Alternatives to tray	Appendix 2 Accepted
. 20	110003	systems	/ locepted
			Will review the text to consider
			broadening the types of
			racking/placement as we had not
			considered bags.
			Would an option to include 'Other'
			on the checklist suffice and a point
			to acknowledge that there may be
			other alternatives to tray systems
P 27	Process	Tank filling	in the text of the Guide? Accepted
1 27	110003	Tank ming	Accepted
			Well spotted and will be edited in
			the subsequent draft of the Guide
P 27	Process	36 hours?	Accepted
			On the issue of 36 hours, the Guide
			requires editing to reflect a
			minimum of 36 hours or other
			approved and validated process
			time.
P 27	Process	Treatment process	Accepted Edit to clarify the process and
			requirements for each ie wet
			storage or depuration
P 27	Terminology	Harvest/removal	Accepted
			Edit of text to replace 'harvest' with 'removal'
P 30	Process	Terminology	Accepted
		Example cleaning	
		schedule	Edit to replace 'reused' with 'used'
			Cleaning schedule is an example
			Cleaning schedule is an example and will be dependent on the type
			and manufacturers specifications
			for filter maintenance

			1
			Re-working of the text to
			emphasise the table is an example
			only and is to be customised to
			each producer's producer and
			conditions of accreditation.
P 31	Process	Salinity monitoring	Response
			Clarification to be provided and
			consideration of re-wording to 'in
			accordance with manufactures
			requirements' or the like
			Note – this is an example
			calibration schedule
P 32	Process	Popost points around	
P 32	Process	Repeat points around validation/verification	Accepted
			Will re-write to address in
			subsequent draft of the Guide
P 32	Training	HACCP training applicability	Accepted
		, ,	Will review as additional text may
			be required here to clarify in the
			absence of depuration training that
			HACCP is a starting point to
			understanding hazards,
			monitoring, verification and
			validation.
			Depuration training is the
			preference, however in the
			absence of training, HACCP would
			be considered as a good starting
			point.
P 39	Definition	Pool/tray	Accepted
			Currently reviewing to determine if
			clarification required in
			'Definitions' or in Appendix 1
P 40 & 41	Process	Layout	Response
			Further review to consider best
			location for this information – I am
			not sure it belongs in the body of
			the text and feel it is better suited
			to the checklist.
P 41	Process	Turbidity	Response
			Will add in 'if applicable' here as
			this is relevant to UV disinfection
P 43 & 44	Process	'After wet	Accepted
		storage/depuration'	
			Yes after water treatment
			ies aitei watei tieatiiieiit
L	1		

			The test will be used on the the
			The text will be reviewed with the
			aim to improve ambiguity and use
			more descriptive terms.
P 44	Process	Source water	Accepted
			Yes after water treatment
			The text will be reviewed with the
			aim to improve ambiguity and use
			more descriptive terms.
P 44	Process	DO limit	Accepted
			Re-write of text to clarify and
			include the limit from the spec
			section of Appendix 1
P 45	Process	Water Source/s	Accepted
			Re-write of text here to separate
			out wet storage v depuration
			parameters.
			This reflects earlier feedback from
			ShellMAP
P 46	Process	Limits	Accepted
		Sampling schedule	·
		and frequency	These comments are under review
		,	against ASQAP and the previous
			ShellMAP draft policy.
Michel Bermud	les - submission		chemia dia penegi
Reference	Туре	Details	Assessment
nerer ente	General	Overarching	Accepted
	General	Overarening	Helpful for new growers
	Content	Training	Accepted
			Inclusion of a 'training resources'
			section
	Process	Inclusion of a	Response
		timeline for	No statutory timeline in the PPSA
		application processes	for assessment and consideration
		'	of applications
	FSMS	Updated FSMS	Response
		required	FSMS template is an industry
			template that is currently under
			review by Oysters Tasmania
	General	New grower	Response
		information package	NRE Tasmania is willing to provide
			content to industry grower
			information package